

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/475,962	12/30/1999	FRANK JOSEPH PENNISI, JR	9D-EC-19319	7121	
7590 06/06/2006			EXAMINER		
John S. Beulick			VAN DOREN, BETH		
Armstrong Teas One Metropolitz	an Square, Suite 2600		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
St. Louis, MO 63102			3623		
		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before th Filing of an App al Brief

Application N .	Applicant(s)		
09/475,962	PENNISI, JR, FRANK JOSEPH		
Examin r	Art Unit		
Beth Van Doren	3623		

- or	Examin r	Art Unit						
	Beth Van Doren	3623						
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	corresp ndence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		• •						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·	·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6-8,22-24 and 38-40</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,9-21,25-37 and 41-48</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
<u>-</u>								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
		TARIO R. NAFIZ						
		Tariu H. Napiz Rvisory Patent En Chnology T	hodio(II)					

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303)

Applicati n N . 09/475,962

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1, 17, and 33 change the scope of the claims previously considered, and thus these claims require further consideration. Examiner notes that the claims objected to as being allowable (i.e. claim 7, 23, and 39) were not properly incorporated into their respective independent claims correctly. Claims 7, 23, and 39 in each instance were not written in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim as well as all the limitations of all intervening claims.